

Appendix to the report

Usage of Antibiotics in Agricultural Livestock in the Netherlands in 2020

Trends and benchmarking of livestock farms and veterinarians

June 2021 (revision Oct. 2021)



Contents

| DDDA _{NAT} summary |
|---|
| Mass balance |
| Detailed antibiotic usage data by livestock sector |
| Broiler farming sector |
| Turkey farming sector16 |
| Layer farming sector19 |
| Broiler parent/grandparent stock farming sector |
| Pig farming sector |
| Veal farming sector |
| Dairy cattle farming sector |
| Non-dairy cattle farming sector6 |
| Rabbit farming sector |
| Colistin use in DDDA _F 71 |
| Distributions new VBI veterinarians |
| Numbers of animals in the Dutch livestock sector74 |
| Antibiotic use volgens Europese doseringen (DDD _{VET})75 |
| Phased implementation of the new benchmark thresholds77 |
| Standardized body weights |
| Computational basis for Figure 1 – long-term developments in antibiotic use |



DDDA_{NAT} summary

Table A1. DDDA_{NAT} values for the 2015-2020 period, by livestock sector and pharmacotherapeutic group

| | | Broile | r farming | sector | | Turkey farming sector | | | | | Pig farming sector | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|
| Pharmacotherapeutic group | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| 1st-choice antibiotics | 2.53 | 2.39 | 2.28 | 2.57 | 2.55 | 12.29 | 8.11 | 10.82 | 10.66 | 8.32 | 6.88 | 6.61 | 6.70 | 6.26 | 6.46 |
| As a proportion of overall AB use | 24.9% | 25.4% | 22.6% | 26.0% | 27.5% | 46.5% | 40.2% | 52.5% | 47.9% | 61.1% | 77.5% | 76.0% | 77.2% | 78.7% | 73.7% |
| Amphenicols | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.32 |
| Macrolides/lincosamides | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.05 | * | * | * | * | * | 0.82 | 0.76 | 0.77 | 0.84 | 0.80 |
| Other | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Penicillins | 0.70 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.87 | 0.88 | 3.70 | 1.64 | 2.62 | 1.61 | 0.82 | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.68 | 0.51 | 0.53 |
| Pleuromutilins | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0.10 | 0.12 | * | * | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.04 |
| Tetracyclines | 1.01 | 0.95 | 1.04 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 7.63 | 5.51 | 7.15 | 8.13 | 7.10 | 4.07 | 4.05 | 3.86 | 3.54 | 3.77 |
| Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | 0.78 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.62 | 0.95 | 0.86 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.40 | 1.10 | 0.90 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.00 |
| 2nd-choice antibiotics | 7.55 | 6.96 | 7.74 | 7.24 | 6.63 | 11.93 | 10.99 | 9.06 | 10.99 | 4.83 | 1.71 | 1.83 | 1.67 | 1.36 | 1.92 |
| As a proportion of overall AB use | 74.1% | 73.7% | 76.4% | 73.1% | 71.6% | 45.1% | 54.5% | 43.9% | 49.4% | 35.5% | 19.3% | 21.1% | 19.3% | 17.1% | 21.9% |
| Aminoglycosides | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.69 | 0.05 | 0.00 | * | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| Aminopenicillins | 5.78 | 5.00 | 5.19 | 5.37 | 4.90 | 10.05 | 9.37 | 7.52 | 9.16 | 3.97 | 1.39 | 1.41 | 1.24 | 0.97 | 1.41 |
| 1st- and 2nd-gen. cephalosporins | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Quinolones | 1.51 | 1.72 | 2.29 | 1.62 | 1.57 | 0.01 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.16 | * | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| Fixed-dose combinations | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | * | * | * | 0.01 | * | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Long-acting macrolides | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0.26 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.45 |
| Macrolides/lincosamides | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 1.18 | 1.30 | 1.35 | 1.66 | 0.86 | * | * | * | * | * |
| 3rd-choice antibiotics | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 2.21 | 1.06 | 0.75 | 0.61 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.39 |
| As a proportion of overall AB use | 1.1% | 0.9% | 1.0% | 0.9% | 0.9% | 8.4% | 5.3% | 3.6% | 2.7% | 3.4% | 3.2% | 2.9% | 3.6% | 4.3% | 4.5% |
| 3rd- and 4th-gen. cephalosporins | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Fluoroquinolones | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 1.60 | 1.06 | 0.75 | 0.59 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Polymyxins | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.61 | * | * | 0.02 | * | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.39 |
| Overall antibiotic use | 10.19 | 9.44 | 10.13 | 9.90 | 9.26 | 26.42 | 20.16 | 20.62 | 22.25 | 13.62 | 8.87 | 8.70 | 8.68 | 7.96 | 8.77 |

0.00 means use was below 0.005 DDDANAT; *means no use was reported



Table A1. (continued)

| | | Dairy ca | ttle farmir | ng sector | | | Veal farming sector | | | Non-dairy cattle farming sector | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|----------|-------------|-----------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Pharmacotherapeutic group | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| 1st-choice antibiotics | 2.23 | 2.35 | 2.40 | 2.39 | 2.66 | 17.94 | 17.30 | 16.09 | 14.15 | 13.02 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.71 | 0.65 |
| As a proportion of overall AB use | 74.0% | 76.9% | 79.0% | 79.9% | 80.5% | 85.9% | 85.9% | 86.4% | 85.6% | 85.1% | 85.0% | 84.2% | 86.7% | 85.5% | 83.7% |
| Amphenicols | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 1.59 | 1.44 | 1.33 | 1.28 | 1.12 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.07 |
| Macrolides/lincosamides | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 3.35 | 3.43 | 3.21 | 3.05 | 2.76 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.10 |
| Other | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Penicillins | 1.52 | 1.69 | 1.76 | 1.75 | 1.96 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| Pleuromutilins | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Tetracyclines | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 10.47 | 10.35 | 9.86 | 8.23 | 7.80 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.53 | 0.38 | 0.35 |
| Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 2.05 | 1.61 | 1.25 | 1.21 | 0.98 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| 2nd-choice antibiotics | 0.77 | 0.70 | 0.63 | 0.59 | 0.64 | 2.85 | 2.78 | 2.50 | 2.35 | 2.26 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| As a proportion of overall AB use | 25.7% | 22.8% | 20.8% | 19.9% | 19.3% | 13.7% | 13.8% | 13.4% | 14.2% | 14.8% | 14.6% | 15.6% | 12.9% | 14.2% | 15.8% |
| Aminoglycosides | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aminopenicillins | 0.34 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 1.77 | 1.75 | 1.65 | 1.52 | 1.48 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| 1st- and 2nd-gen. cephalosporins | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | * | * | * | * | * | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Quinolones | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 0.57 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Fixed-dose combinations | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Long-acting macrolides | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Macrolides/lincosamides | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 3rd-choice antibiotics | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| As a proportion of overall AB use | 0.3% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.4% | 0.3% | 0.2% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.4% | 0.2% | 0.4% | 0.3% | 0.5% |
| 3rd- and 4th-gen. cephalosporins | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | * | * | * | * | * | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fluoroquinolones | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Polymyxins | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Overall antibiotic use | 3.01 | 3.06 | 3.04 | 2.99 | 3.31 | 20.88 | 20.13 | 18.63 | 16.52 | 15.31 | 1.07 | 1.10 | 1.08 | 0.83 | 0.78 |

0.00 means use was below 0.005 DDDANAT; *means no use was reported



Table A1. (continued)

Table A2. Reductions in the amount of antibiotics used in agricultural livestock, compared to

2009 levels

| | Rabbit farming sector | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| Pharmacotherapeutic group | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | | | |
| 1st-choice antibiotics | 30.92 | 24.22 | 32.65 | 30.44 | 35.27 | | | |
| As a proportion of overall AB use | 75.5% | 80.6% | 74.8% | 77.1% | 83.3% | | | |
| Amphenicols | 0.00 | * | * | * | * | | | |
| Macrolides/lincosamides | 1.07 | 1.74 | 2.67 | 5.15 | 3.93 | | | |
| Other | 16.37 | 12.36 | 16.55 | 13.25 | 12.54 | | | |
| Penicillins | * | * | 0.00 | * | * | | | |
| Pleuromutilins | 1.38 | 1.68 | 3.37 | 4.02 | 3.86 | | | |
| Tetracyclines | 10.49 | 7.76 | 9.93 | 7.13 | 11.22 | | | |
| Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | 1.62 | 0.69 | 0.13 | 0.89 | 3.73 | | | |
| 2nd-choice antibiotics | 9.67 | 5.73 | 10.46 | 8.39 | 7.09 | | | |
| As a proportion of overall AB use | 23.6% | 19.0% | 24.0% | 21.2% | 16.7% | | | |
| Aminoglycosides | 9.66 | 5.73 | 10.22 | 8.33 | 6.97 | | | |
| Aminopenicillins | * | * | * | * | * | | | |
| 1st- and 2nd-gen. cephalosporins | * | * | * | * | * | | | |
| Quinolones | * | * | * | * | 0.12 | | | |
| Fixed-dose combinations | * | * | * | * | * | | | |
| Long-acting macrolides | 0.01 | * | 0.24 | 0.05 | * | | | |
| Macrolides/lincosamides | * | * | * | * | * | | | |
| 3rd-choice antibiotics | 0.34 | 0.12 | 0.57 | 0.68 | 0.00 | | | |
| As a proportion of overall AB use | 0.8% | 0.4% | 1.3% | 1.7% | 0.0% | | | |
| 3rd- and 4th-gen. cephalosporins | * | * | * | * | * | | | |
| Fluoroquinolones | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.11 | * | | | |
| Polymyxins | 0.09 | * | 0.28 | 0.57 | * | | | |
| Overall antibiotic use | 40.93 | 30.07 | 43.68 | 39.51 | 42.35 | | | |

| | DDDA _{NAT} | | Reduction from the 2009 level, in % | | | | | | | | | DDDA _{NAT} | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|------|-------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------------------|-------|
| Livestock sector | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2020 |
| Broiler farming sector | 36.76 | 37 | 43 | 52 | 65 | 57 | 60 | 72 | 74 | 72 | 73 | 75 | 9.26 |
| Pig farming sector | 20.51 | 26 | 29 | 30 | 51 | 54 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 58 | 61 | 57 | 8.77 |
| Dairy cattle farming sector | 5.78 | -10 | -1 | 30 | 30 | 43 | 46 | 48 | 47 | 47 | 48 | 43 | 3.31 |
| Veal farming sector* | 33.80 | 9 | 14 | 24 | 36 | 37 | 35 | 38 | 40 | 45 | 51 | 55 | 15.31 |

* reduction compared to 2007 is 61%

0.00 means use was below 0.005 DDDANAT; *means no use was reported



Mass balance

Table A3. Kilograms of antibiotics used (by livestock sector and for all livestock sectors combined) and sold in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group

| | | Kilograms used, according to delivery records | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|----------------|
| Pharmacotherapeutic group | Broiler farming sector | Turkey farming sector | Other poultry farming subsectors | Pig farming sector | Dairy cattle farming sector | Veal farming sector | Non-dairy cattle farming sector | Rabbit farming sector | All livestock sectors combined | Kilograms sold |
| 1st-choice antibiotics | 3,580 | 947 | 2,601 | 52,026 | 10,185 | 37,014 | 5,092 | 503 | 111,947 | 118,429 |
| As a proportion of overall AB use/sales | 41.0% | 80.4% | 83.9% | 79.9% | 82.2% | 81.5% | 83.0% | 81.4% | 78.4% | 77.1% |
| Amphenicols | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,575 | 488 | 2,063 | 389 | 0 | 4,515 | 4,603 |
| Fixed-dose combinations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 325 |
| Macrolides/lincosamides | 437 | 236 | 1,183 | 7,201 | 540 | 12,562 | 1,279 | 31 | 23,469 | 23,537 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 61 | 630 |
| Penicillins | 728 | 48 | 595 | 4,412 | 3,523 | 471 | 290 | 0 | 10,0n 67 | 10,741 |
| Pleuromutilins | 0 | 0 | 33 | 262 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 348 | 379 |
| Tetracyclines | 977 | 623 | 573 | 24,876 | 1,718 | 16,937 | 2,616 | 121 | 48,441 | 48,515 |
| Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | 1,438 | 39 | 217 | 13,701 | 3,917 | 4,980 | 517 | 237 | 25,046 | 29,699 |
| 2nd-choice antibiotics | 5,136 | 214 | 268 | 11,940 | 2,178 | 8,388 | 1,034 | 115 | 29,274 | 33,539 |
| As a proportion of overall AB use/sales | 58.8% | 18.2% | 8.6% | 18.3% | 17.6% | 18.5% | 16.9% | 18.6% | 20.5% | 21.8% |
| Aminoglycosides | 10 | 1 | 0 | 97 | 233 | 204 | 26 | 113 | 685 | 1,006 |
| Aminopenicillins | 4,329 | 213 | 172 | 11,030 | 1,297 | 6,740 | 709 | 0 | 24,490 | 27,955 |
| 1st- and 2nd-gen. cephalosporins | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 432 |
| Quinolones | 774 | 0 | 96 | 212 | 5 | 1,423 | 169 | 1 | 2,680 | 2,494 |
| Fixed-dose combinations | 23 | 0 | 0 | 498 | 623 | 5 | 125 | 0 | 1,274 | 1,520 |
| Macrolides/lincosamides | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 129 | 132 |
| 3rd-choice antibiotics | 24 | 16 | 230 | 1,176 | 23 | 19 | 9 | 0 | 1,497 | 1,553 |
| As a proportion of overall AB use/sales | 0.3% | 1.4% | 7.4% | 1.8% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% |
| 3rd- and 4th-gen. cephalosporins | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0,6 |
| Fluoroquinolones | 15 | 16 | 15 | 1 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 71 | 149 |
| Polymyxins | 9 | 0 | 215 | 1,175 | 5 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 1,425 | 1,404 |
| Overall | 8,740 | 1,177 | 3,099 | 65,143 | 12,387 | 45,421 | 6,135 | 617 | 142,718 | 153,521 |



Figure A1. Kilograms of antibiotics sold for the 2011-2020 period, by pharmacotherapeutic group





Detailed antibiotic usage data by livestock sector

Broiler farming sector

1. Antibiotic use in DDDA_{NAT}

Figure A2. DDDA_{NAT} trends in the broiler farming sector over the 2013-2020 period, by pharmacotherapeutic group



* In the poultry farming sector, all macrolides/lincosamides (with the exception of lincomycin and spiramycin) are categorized as second-choice antibiotics. In other livestock sectors, only long-acting macrolides are categorized as second-choice antibiotics.



2. DDDA_F

2.1 All broiler farms combined

Number of farms: 816*

Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 338 (41.4%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins**: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 19 (2.3%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 8 (1.0%)

| Table A4 Antibiotic use | in DDDA ₅ at h | roiler farms fror | n 2016 to 2020*** |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Table A4. Antibiotic use | III DDDAF at D | | 11 2010 10 2020 |

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|-----|------|--------|------|------|
| 2016 | 853 | 10.1 | 5.2 | 14.6 | 27.2 |
| 2017 | 852 | 10.3 | 4.4 | 14.4 | 27.1 |
| 2018 | 834 | 10.6 | 5.1 | 14.5 | 26.7 |
| 2019 | 819 | 8.6 | 3.4 | 13.6 | 24.0 |
| 2020 | 816 | 7.8 | 2.6 | 10.9 | 24.2 |

* This number also contains farms with conventional and alternative breeds, therefore the number of farms in both separate categories does not add up to the total number of farms in the sector mentioned here.

** These antibiotics are not allowed for poultry.

*** Only years for which similar $\mathsf{DDDA}_{\mathsf{F}}$ calculation methods were used have been included.







Table A5. Antibiotic use in $DDDA_F$ at broiler farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| | | | | DDDA _F | | |
|--------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------|------|------|
| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 793 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Oral | 717 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.63 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 627 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.01 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 513 | 0.00 | 2.66 | 2.32 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Oral | 814 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 531 | 0.00 | 3.19 | 2.48 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 640 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.83 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Oral | 810 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| 2 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 763 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| 2 | Penicillins | Oral | 808 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Oral | 797 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Oral | 808 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |



2.2 Broiler farms with conventional breeds

Number of farms: 394 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 85 (21.6%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins*: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 16 (4.1%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 7 (1.8%)

Table A6. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at broiler farms with conventional breeds from 2016 to 2020**

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|-----|------|--------|------|------|
| 2016 | 570 | 12.3 | 8.5 | 17.5 | 29.7 |
| 2017 | 487 | 13.9 | 9.3 | 19.5 | 33.3 |
| 2018 | 498 | 14.3 | 10.1 | 20.0 | 34.0 |
| 2019 | 455 | 13.1 | 10.1 | 19.2 | 30.4 |
| 2020 | 394 | 13.4 | 10.2 | 19.7 | 30.9 |

* These antibiotics are not allowed for poultry.

** Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.



Figure B4. 2018, 2019 and 2020 DDDAF distributions for broiler farms with conventional breeds



Figure B5. A4. Scatter plot of 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F values for broiler farms with conventional breeds. The red solid lines represent the action thresholds defined by the SDa. The red dotted line represents the transitional action threshold negotiated by the livestock sector. For each type of action threshold, the number of farms with persistently high usage levels is listed in the upper-right corner of the scatter plot



Table A7. Antibiotic use in $DDDA_F$ at broiler farms with conventional breeds in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
|--------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------|------|------|
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 371 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Oral | 321 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.01 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 258 | 0.00 | 1.58 | 1.52 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 166 | 1.55 | 5.44 | 3.98 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Oral | 392 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 166 | 2.26 | 6.97 | 4.41 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 262 | 0.00 | 1.32 | 1.40 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Oral | 388 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 |
| 2 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 350 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
| 2 | Penicillins | Oral | 387 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Oral | 378 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Oral | 387 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 |



2.3 Broiler farms with alternative breeds

Number of farms: 525 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 339 (64.6%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins*: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 3 (0.6%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 1 (0.2%)

Table A8. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at broiler farms with alternative breeds from 2016 to 2020**

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|-----|------|--------|-----|------|
| 2016 | 461 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 11.9 |
| 2017 | 493 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 12.6 |
| 2018 | 475 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 10.6 |
| 2019 | 471 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 7.8 |
| 2020 | 525 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 6.9 |

* These antibiotics are not allowed for poultry.

** Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.



Figure B6. 2018, 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F distributions for broiler farms with alternative breeds



Figure B7. Scatter plot of 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F values for broiler farms with alternative breeds. The red solid lines represent the action thresholds defined by the SDa. The red dotted line represents the transitional action threshold negotiated by the livestock sector. For each type of action threshold, the number of farms with persistently high usage levels is listed in the center-right part of the scatter plot



Table A9. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at broiler farms with alternative breeds in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
|--------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|--------|------|------|
| 1 | Penicillins | Oral | 499 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 469 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 445 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.62 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 463 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.55 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 480 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 |
| 2 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 516 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 2 | Penicillins | Oral | 523 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Oral | 522 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Oral | 524 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |



Turkey farming sector

1. Antibiotic use in DDDA_{NAT}

Figure B8. DDDA_{NAT} trends in the turkey farming sector over the 2013-2020 period, by pharmacotherapeutic group



* In the poultry farming sector, all macrolides/lincosamides (with the exception of lincomycin and spiramycin) are categorized as second-choice antibiotics. In other livestock sectors, only long-acting macrolides are categorized as second-choice antibiotics.



2. DDDA_F

Number of farms: 43 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 7 (16.3%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins*: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 15 (39.5%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 0 (0.0%)

| Table A10 Antibiotic use | in DDDA₅ at turkev | farms from 2016 to | 2020** |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Table A10. Antibiotic use | in DDDAF at turkey | | 2020 |

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|----|------|--------|------|------|
| 2016 | 46 | 28.0 | 19.3 | 34.2 | 72.8 |
| 2017 | 45 | 18.7 | 10.4 | 25.5 | 59.8 |
| 2018 | 38 | 20.9 | 11.6 | 24.1 | 49.7 |
| 2019 | 43 | 18.7 | 13.2 | 21.5 | 40.1 |
| 2020 | 43 | 9.3 | 6.1 | 15.7 | 22.2 |

* These antibiotics are not allowed for poultry.

** Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.

Figure B9. 2013, 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F distributions for turkey farms, with 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F values based on standardized body weights





Figure B10. Scatter plot of 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F values for turkey farms. The red solid lines represent the action thresholds defined by the SDa. The red dotted line represents the new action thresholds applied as of 2021. For each type of action threshold, the number of farms with persistently high usage levels is listed in the upper-right corner of the scatter plot



* The new benchmark value to be applied as of 2021.

| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
|--------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|--------|------|------|
| 1 | Penicillins | Oral | 36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 9 | 3.68 | 5.52 | 4.31 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Oral | 42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 |
| 2 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 21 | 0.14 | 0.95 | 0.55 |
| 2 | Penicillins | Oral | 27 | 0.00 | 3.52 | 2.58 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Oral | 26 | 0.00 | 1.03 | 0.57 |

Table A11. Antibiotic use in $DDDA_F$ at turkey farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration



Layer farming sector

1. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F

1.1 Layer farms

Number of farms: 818 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 568 (69.4%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins*: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 128 (15.6%)

| Table A12 Antibiotic use in | ΩDD∆ _r at la | ver farms from | 2017 to 2020** |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Table A12. Antibiotic use in | i DDDAF at ia | yer farms from | 2017 10 2020 |

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|-----|------|--------|-----|-----|
| 2017 | 875 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
| 2018 | 844 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 6.1 |
| 2019 | 844 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 6.6 |
| 2020 | 818 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 5.9 |

* These antibiotics are not allowed for poultry.

** Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.

Figure B11. 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F distributions for layer farms (no probability density functions can be shown due to too little variation)





Table A13 Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at layer farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| | | | | DDDA _F | | |
|--------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------------|------|------|
| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
| 1 | Penicillins | Oral | 760 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 |
| 1 | Pleuromutilins | Oral | 812 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| 2 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 699 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Oral | 690 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.06 |



1.2 Layer rearing farms

Number of farms: 175 Number of farms with $DDDA_F=0: 100 (57.1\%)$ Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins*: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 1 (0.6%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 0 (0.0%)

Table A14. Antibiotic use in DDDA $_{\rm F}$ at layer rearing farms from 2017 to 2020**

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|-----|------|--------|-----|-----|
| 2017 | 187 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 5.9 |
| 2018 | 176 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 5.8 |
| 2019 | 177 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 6.0 |
| 2020 | 175 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 5.8 |

* These antibiotics are not allowed for poultry.

** Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.

Figure B12. 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F distributions for layer rearing farms (no probability density functions can be shown due to too little variation)





Table A15. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at layer rearing farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| | | | - | DDDA _F | | |
|--------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------|------|------|
| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
| 1 | Penicillins | Oral | 127 | 0.00 | 1.07 | 0.87 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 158 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 172 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 172 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 |
| 2 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 143 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Oral | 174 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |



1.3 Parent stock rearing farms

Number of farms: 15 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 7 (46.7%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins*: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 0 (0.0%)

Table A16. Antibiotic use in $DDDA_F$ at parent stock rearing farms from 2017 to 2020**

| 8 | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----------|------------|------------|-------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 | | | | |
| 2017 | 18 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 20.3 | | | | |
| 2018 | 18 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 28.7 | | | | |
| 2019 | 16 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 20.9 | | | | |
| 2020 | 15 | 6.0 | 3.4 | 8.7 | 14.8 | | | | |
| 2019 2020 | 16 15 | 7.6 6.0 | 0.0 3.4 | 11.2 8.7 | 20.9 14.8 | | | | |

* These antibiotics are not allowed for poultry.

** Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.

Figure B13. 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F distributions for parent stock rearing farms (no probability density functions can be shown due to too little variation)





Table A17. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at parent stock rearing farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| | | | | DDDA _F | | |
|--------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------|------|------|
| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
| 1 | Penicillins | Oral | 10 | 0.00 | 3.62 | 2.02 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.36 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.49 |



1.4 Parent stock production farms

Number of farms: 41 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 19 (46.3%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins*: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 2 (4.9%)

Table A18. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at parent stock production farms from 2017 to 2020**

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|----|------|--------|-----|------|
| 2017 | 36 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 10.0 |
| 2018 | 37 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 11.9 |
| 2019 | 43 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 12.0 |
| 2020 | 41 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 4.2 | 8.9 |

* These antibiotics are not allowed for poultry.

** Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.

Figure B14. 2018 and 2019 $DDDA_F$ distributions for parent stock production farms (no probability density functions can be shown due to too little variation)





Table A19. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at parent stock production farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
|--------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------|------|------|
| 1 | Penicillins | Oral | 35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.69 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.15 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| 2 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 28 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 1.04 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Oral | 39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 |

T



1.5 Grandparent stock rearing farms

Number of farms: 2 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 2 (100%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins*: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 0 (0.0%)

Table A20. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at grandparent stock rearing farms from 2017 to 2020**

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|---|------|--------|-----|-----|
| 2017 | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2018 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2019 | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2020 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

* These antibiotics are not allowed for poultry.

** Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.

1.6 Grandparent stock production farms

Number of farms: 7

Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 5 (71,4%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins*: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 0 (0.0%)

Number of farms that used polymyxins: 0 (0.0%)

| dise A21. Autobolie die in BBBA at Grandparent stock production farms nom 2017 to 2020 | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------|--------|-----|-----|--|--|
| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 | | |
| 2017 | 7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 3.6 | | |
| 2018 | 6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | | |
| 2019 | 8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | | |
| 2020 | 7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 | | |

Table A21. Antibiotic use in DDDA $_{\rm F}$ at grandparent stock production farms from 2017 to 2020**

* These antibiotics are not allowed for poultry.

** Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.

Table A22. Antibiotic use in $DDDA_F$ at grandparent stock production farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
|--------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------|------|------|
| 1 | Penicillins | Oral | 5 | 0.00 | 3.22 | 0.95 |



Broiler parent/grandparent stock farming sector

1. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F

1.1 Parent stock rearing farms

Number of farms: 87 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 12 (13.8%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins*: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 9 (10.3%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 0 (0.0%)

| Table A23. Antibiotic use in DDDAF at parent stock rearing farms from 2017 to 2020** | |
|--|--|
|--|--|

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|-----|------|--------|------|------|
| 2017 | 104 | 14.3 | 9.1 | 18.2 | 29.9 |
| 2018 | 89 | 16.9 | 12.2 | 23.9 | 36.4 |
| 2019 | 91 | 15.4 | 11.3 | 20.5 | 31.1 |
| 2020 | 87 | 10.0 | 8.1 | 14.3 | 19.4 |

* These antibiotics are not allowed for poultry.

** Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.







Table A24. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at parent stock rearing farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
|--------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------|------|------|
| 1 | Penicillins | Oral | 48 | 0.00 | 2.65 | 2.65 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.18 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 31 | 2.31 | 4.55 | 3.26 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 51 | 0.00 | 3.09 | 2.25 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 |
| 2 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Oral | 78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 |

-



1.2 Parent stock production farms

Number of farms: 199 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 137 (68.8%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins*: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 6 (3.0%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 2 (1.0%)

Table A25. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at parent stock production farms from 2017 to 2020**

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|-----|------|--------|-----|-----|
| 2017 | 230 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 9.0 |
| 2018 | 196 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 8.4 |
| 2019 | 204 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 6.7 |
| 2020 | 199 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 8.1 |

* These antibiotics are not allowed for poultry.

** Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.







Table A26. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at parent stock production farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
|--------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------|------|------|
| 1 | Penicillins | Oral | 191 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 162 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.65 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 191 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.62 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 197 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 174 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.65 |
| 2 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 195 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Oral | 193 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Oral | 197 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 |



1.3 Grandparent stock rearing farms

Number of farms: 13 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 4 (30.8%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins*: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 0 (0.0%)

Table A27. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at grandparent stock rearing farms from 2017 to 2020**

| | | 0 1 | 0 | | |
|------|----|------|--------|------|------|
| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
| 2017 | 12 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 7.8 | 11.1 |
| 2018 | 10 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 11.7 | 12.8 |
| 2019 | 12 | 8.3 | 7.4 | 16.0 | 16.4 |
| 2020 | 13 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 13.2 | 16.8 |

* These antibiotics are not allowed for poultry.

** Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.

Table A28. Antibiotic use in $DDDA_F$ at grandparent stock rearing farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
|--------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------|------|------|
| 1 | Penicillins | Oral | 7 | 0.00 | 2.92 | 1.77 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 6 | 1.51 | 6.28 | 2.92 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 8 | 0.00 | 1.28 | 0.68 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 9 | 0.00 | 2.29 | 1.79 |



1.4 Grandparent stock production farms

Number of farms: 21 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 15 (71.4%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins*: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 0 (0.0%)

Table A29. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at grandparent stock production farms from 2017 to 2020**

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|----|------|--------|-----|------|
| 2017 | 20 | 5.2 | 3.1 | 7.7 | 16.8 |
| 2018 | 19 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 9.4 |
| 2019 | 20 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 20.1 |
| 2020 | 21 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 16.1 |

* These antibiotics are not allowed for poultry.

** Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.

Table A30. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at grandparent stock production farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| | | | | DDDA _F | | |
|--------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------|------|------|
| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
| 1 | Penicillins | Oral | 20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| 1 | Pleuromutilins | Oral | 20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.96 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.48 |
| 2 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.46 |



Pig farming sector

1. Antibiotic use in DDDA_{NAT}

Figure B17. DDDA_{NAT} trends in the pig farming sector over the 2013-2020 period, by pharmacotherapeutic group



* In the poultry farming sector, all macrolides/lincosamides (with the exception of lincomycin and spiramycin) are categorized as second-choice antibiotics. In other livestock sectors, only long-acting macrolides are categorized as second-choice antibiotics.



2. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F

2.1 Farms with sows and suckling piglets

Number of farms: 1,572 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 98 (6.2%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 6 (0.4%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 484 (30.8%)

Table A31. Antibiotic use in $DDDA_F$ at farms with sows and suckling piglets from 2015 to 2020*

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|-------|------|--------|-----|------|
| 2015 | 2,109 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 6.8 | 12.8 |
| 2016 | 1,919 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 8.1 |
| 2017 | 1,853 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 4.7 | 8.2 |
| 2018 | 1,780 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 8.6 |
| 2019 | 1,659 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 8.2 |
| 2020 | 1,572 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 7.7 |

* Only years for which similar DDDA_F calculation methods were used have been included.

Figure B18. 2015, 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F distributions for farms with sows and suckling piglets





Figure B19. Scatter plot of 2018 and 2019 DDDA_F values for farms with sows and suckling piglets. The red solid lines represent the action thresholds defined by the SDa. The red dotted line represents the transitional action threshold negotiated by the livestock sector. For each type of action threshold, the number of farms with persistently high usage levels is listed in the upper-right corner of the scatter plot




Table A32. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at farms with sows and suckling piglets in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| Choice | Pharmacotheraneutic group | Route of | # of farms with | Median | P75 | Mean |
|--------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|--------|------|------|
| | | Barrataral | 4 002 | | F73 | 0.25 |
| 1 | Ampnenicois | Parenteral | 1,083 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.25 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 1,447 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Parenteral | 1,368 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Parenteral | 291 | 0.42 | 1.09 | 0.82 |
| 1 | Pleuromutilins | Oral | 1,565 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | Pleuromutilins | Parenteral | 1,520 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 1,262 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.57 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Parenteral | 653 | 0.05 | 0.41 | 0.44 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Intra- | 1,571 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 1,336 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Parenteral | 598 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.24 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Oral | 1,492 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 1,456 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Parenteral | 791 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.24 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 1,551 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Parenteral | 1,412 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 2 | Long-acting macrolides | Parenteral | 1,202 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 |
| 2 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Parenteral | 1,510 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| 2 | Penicillins | Oral | 1,571 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Parenteral | 1,566 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Oral | 1,469 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Parenteral | 1,125 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 |



2.2 Farms with weaner pigs

Number of farms: 1,759 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 269 (15.3%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 2 (0.1%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 504 (28.7%)

Table A33. Antibiotic use in $DDDA_F$ at farms with weaner pigs from 2015 to 2020*

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|-------|------|--------|------|------|
| 2015 | 2,276 | 19.6 | 7.6 | 24.4 | 52.2 |
| 2016 | 2,088 | 24.2 | 11.9 | 29.1 | 57.2 |
| 2017 | 2,037 | 21.7 | 10.6 | 25.5 | 52.9 |
| 2018 | 1,941 | 19.8 | 10.1 | 23.5 | 44.0 |
| 2019 | 1,833 | 16.8 | 8.1 | 20.7 | 38.3 |
| 2020 | 1,759 | 20.5 | 9.5 | 21.3 | 41.3 |

* Only years for which similar DDDA_F calculation methods were used have been included.



Figure B20. 2015, 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F distributions for farms with weaner pigs



Figure B21. Scatter plot of 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F values for farms with weaner pigs. The red solid lines represent the action thresholds defined by the SDa. The number of farms with structurally high usage levels (farms whose usage levels exceeded the action threshold in both years) is listed in the upper-right corner of the scatter plot





Table A34. Antibiotic use in $DDDA_F$ at farms with weaner pigs in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| | | | | | DDDA _F | |
|--------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------|-------------------|------|
| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
| 1 | Amphenicols | Parenteral | 1,419 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 1,563 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.64 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Parenteral | 1,694 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Parenteral | 1,052 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.62 |
| 1 | Pleuromutilins | Oral | 1,741 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| 1 | Pleuromutilins | Parenteral | 1,736 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 1,011 | 0.00 | 6.35 | 6.72 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Parenteral | 1,334 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 1,150 | 0.00 | 1.98 | 2.96 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Parenteral | 1,550 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Oral | 1,705 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 1,289 | 0.00 | 1.59 | 4.83 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Parenteral | 1,138 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.51 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 1,747 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Parenteral | 1,670 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| 2 | Long-acting macrolides | Parenteral | 1,424 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.99 |
| 2 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Parenteral | 1,679 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Parenteral | 1,757 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Oral | 1,382 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.72 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Parenteral | 1,471 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 |



2.3 Farms with fattening pigs

Number of farms: 3,650 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 1,129 (30.9%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 1 (0.0%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 98 (2.7%)

Table A35. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at farms with fattening pigs from 2015 to 2020*

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|-------|------|--------|-----|------|
| 2015 | 5,072 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 5.4 | 10.2 |
| 2016 | 4,701 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 5.7 | 10.1 |
| 2017 | 4,580 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 5.4 | 9.8 |
| 2018 | 4,323 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 9.9 |
| 2019 | 4,005 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 5.5 | 10.2 |
| 2020 | 3,650 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 9.0 |

* Only years for which similar DDDA_F calculation methods were used have been included.



Figure B22. 2015, 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F distributions for farms with fattening pigs



Figure B23. Scatter plot of 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F values for farms with fattening pigs. The red solid lines represent the action thresholds defined by the SDa. The red dotted line represents the transitional action threshold negotiated by the livestock sector. For each type of action threshold, the number of farms with persistently high usage levels is listed in the upper-right corner of the scatter plot





Table A36. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at farms with fattening pigs in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| | | Route of | # of farms with | | | |
|--------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------|------|------|
| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | administration | DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
| 1 | Amphenicols | Parenteral | 2.641 | 0,00 | 0,05 | 0,17 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 2.713 | 0,00 | 0,18 | 0,64 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Parenteral | 2.979 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,02 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Parenteral | 1.642 | 0,04 | 0,26 | 0,25 |
| 1 | Pleuromutilins | Oral | 3.588 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,03 |
| 1 | Pleuromutilins | Parenteral | 3.503 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 2.236 | 0,00 | 1,79 | 1,79 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Parenteral | 2.262 | 0,00 | 0,10 | 0,18 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 2.981 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,30 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Parenteral | 3.590 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Oral | 3.643 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 3.515 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,07 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Parenteral | 3.237 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,02 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 3.638 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Parenteral | 3.582 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| 2 | Long-acting macrolides | Parenteral | 3.587 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,02 |
| 2 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Parenteral | 3.638 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Parenteral | 3.649 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Oral | 3.601 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,01 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Parenteral | 3.589 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |



Veal farming sector

1. Antibiotic use in DDDA_{NAT}

Figure B24. DDDA_{NAT} trends in the veal farming sector over the 2013-2020 period, by pharmacotherapeutic group



* In the poultry farming sector, all macrolides/lincosamides (with the exception of lincomycin and spiramycin) are categorized as second-choice antibiotics. In other livestock sectors, only long-acting macrolides are categorized as second-choice antibiotics.



2. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F

2.1 White veal farms

Number of farms: 813 Number of farms with DDDA_F = 0: 1 (0.1%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 86 (10.6%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 55 (6.8%)

| Tahle A37 | Antihiotic use | in DDDA. | at white yeal | farms from | 2011 to | 2020* |
|------------|----------------|----------|---------------|------------|---------|-------|
| Table AS7. | Antibiotic use | | at white vear | | 2011 10 | 2020 |

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|-----|------|--------|------|------|
| 2011 | 934 | 41.1 | 33.2 | 44.9 | 57.8 |
| 2012 | 904 | 33.6 | 30.7 | 40.1 | 50.9 |
| 2013 | 862 | 31.4 | 26.2 | 35.1 | 45.2 |
| 2014 | 864 | 24.5 | 23.4 | 31.0 | 37.8 |
| 2015 | 855 | 25.1 | 24.3 | 31.7 | 38.3 |
| 2016 | 857 | 23.7 | 23.0 | 29.0 | 35.6 |
| 2017 | 838 | 23.0 | 22.2 | 27.0 | 33.1 |
| 2018 | 855 | 20.1 | 19.3 | 24.6 | 30.0 |
| 2019 | 823 | 19.9 | 19.3 | 23.9 | 29.6 |
| 2020 | 813 | 19.1 | 18.5 | 22.9 | 27.9 |

* Only years for which similar DDDA_F calculation methods were used have been included.



Figure B25. 2012, 2019 and 2020 $DDDA_F$ distributions for white veal farms



Figure B26. Scatter plot of 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F values for white veal farms. The red solid lines represent the action thresholds defined by the SDa. The number of farms with structurally high usage levels (farms whose usage levels exceeded the action threshold in both years) is listed in the upper-right corner of the scatter plot





Table A38. Antibiotic use in $DDDA_F$ at white veal farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| | | | | | DDDA _F | |
|--------|---------------------------|--|----------------------|--------|-------------------|------|
| | | Route of | # of farms with | | | |
| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | administration | DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
| 1 | Amphenicols | Parenteral | 6 | 0.88 | 1.37 | 1.03 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 24 | 3.29 | 4.28 | 3.41 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Parenteral | 274 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.09 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Intramammary for dry cow therapy | 812 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Parenteral | 32 | 0.34 | 0.57 | 0.43 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Intrauterine | 812 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 5 | 9.49 | 12.31 | 9.99 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Parenteral | 559 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 448 | 0.00 | 1.28 | 0.93 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Parenteral | 201 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.06 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Oral | 337 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.10 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Parenteral | 474 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 274 | 0.55 | 3.24 | 1.97 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Parenteral | 117 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.12 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 627 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.60 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Parenteral | 784 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Long-acting macrolides | Parenteral | 205 | 0.16 | 0.35 | 0.24 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Oral | 803 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Parenteral | 733 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Oral | 800 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Parenteral | 765 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |



2.2 Rosé veal starter farms

Number of farms: 197 Number of farms with DDDA_F = 0: 1 (0.5%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 13 (6.6%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 5 (2.5%)

Table A39. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at rosé veal starter farms from 2011 to 2020*

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|-----|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| 2011 | 207 | 120.0 | 94.4 | 127.8 | 171.5 |
| 2012 | 189 | 97.5 | 84.2 | 107.1 | 143.1 |
| 2013 | 264 | 115.6 | 80.9 | 102.2 | 131.0 |
| 2014 | 260 | 79.6 | 77.7 | 97.2 | 113.9 |
| 2015 | 247 | 82.7 | 83.0 | 101.5 | 115.1 |
| 2016 | 240 | 83.9 | 83.2 | 100 | 111.6 |
| 2017 | 238 | 83.0 | 83.1 | 102.0 | 113.3 |
| 2018 | 256 | 79.9 | 79.3 | 96.1 | 115.6 |
| 2019 | 210 | 75.9 | 74.3 | 94.1 | 107.1 |
| 2020 | 197 | 69.1 | 69.7 | 83.2 | 95.0 |

* Only years for which similar DDDA_F calculation methods were used have been included.



Figure B27. 2012, 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F distributions for rosé veal starter farms



Figure B28. Scatter plot of 2019 and 2020 $DDDA_F$ values for rosé veal starter farms. The red solid lines represent the action thresholds defined by the SDa. The number of farms with persistently high usage levels (farms whose usage levels exceeded the action threshold in both years) is listed in the upper-right corner of the scatter plot





Table A40. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at rosé veal starter farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| | | | <u> </u> | | DDDA _F | |
|--------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------|-------------------|-------|
| | | Route of | # of farms with | | | |
| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | administration | DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
| 1 | Amphenicols | Parenteral | 1 | 5.33 | 8.46 | 6.51 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 13 | 16.06 | 20.15 | 15.29 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Parenteral | 52 | 0.13 | 0.39 | 0.41 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Parenteral | 11 | 1.16 | 2.21 | 1.56 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 2 | 34.35 | 43.79 | 33.98 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Parenteral | 152 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 64 | 2.82 | 10.07 | 6.05 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Parenteral | 59 | 0.10 | 0.38 | 0.35 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Oral | 113 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.40 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Parenteral | 92 | 0.05 | 0.48 | 0.34 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Intramammary | 196 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 118 | 0.00 | 1.59 | 1.71 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Parenteral | 26 | 0.31 | 0.61 | 0.46 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 160 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.71 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Parenteral | 193 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Long-acting macrolides | Parenteral | 60 | 0.46 | 1.38 | 1.10 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Oral | 195 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Parenteral | 185 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Oral | 196 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Parenteral | 192 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |



2.3 Rosé veal fattening farms

Number of farms: 680 Number of farms with DDDA_F = 0: 48 (7.1%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 8 (1.2%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 7 (1.0%)

Table A41. Antibiotic use in $DDDA_F$ at rosé veal fattening farms from 2011 to 2020*

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|-----|------|--------|-----|------|
| 2011 | 671 | 7.8 | 1.5 | 6.6 | 14.5 |
| 2012 | 717 | 5.8 | 2.3 | 7.3 | 15.5 |
| 2013 | 723 | 5.2 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 10.8 |
| 2014 | 663 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 9.5 |
| 2015 | 638 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 7.3 |
| 2016 | 602 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 8.1 |
| 2017 | 580 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 7.8 |
| 2018 | 601 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 6.4 |
| 2019 | 732 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 6.1 | 10.5 |
| 2020 | 680 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 5.9 | 11.9 |

* Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.

Figure B29. 2012, 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F distributions for rosé veal fattening farms





Figure B30. Scatter plot of 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F values for rosé veal fattening farms. The red solid lines represent the action thresholds defined by the SDa. The number of farms with persistently high usage levels (farms whose usage levels exceeded the action threshold in both years) is listed in the upper-right corner of the scatter plot





Table A42. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at rosé veal fattening farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| | | Route of | # of farms with | | | |
|--------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------|------|------|
| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | administration | DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
| 1 | Amphenicols | Parenteral | 103 | 0.36 | 0.70 | 0.58 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 536 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Parenteral | 490 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Parenteral | 245 | 0.07 | 0.25 | 0.19 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 352 | 0.00 | 3.23 | 2.08 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Parenteral | 575 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 490 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.43 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Parenteral | 505 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Oral | 615 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Parenteral | 621 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 626 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Parenteral | 354 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 667 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Parenteral | 645 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Long-acting macrolides | Parenteral | 391 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Oral | 679 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Parenteral | 672 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Parenteral | 673 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Т



2.4 Rosé veal combination farms

Number of farms: 74 Number of farms with DDDA_F = 0: 2 (1.4%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 10 (13.5%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 2 (2.7%)

Table A43. Antibiotic use in $DDDA_F$ at rosé veal combination farms from 2011 to 2020*

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|-----|------|--------|------|------|
| 2011 | 313 | 34.6 | 17.3 | 29.7 | 45.7 |
| 2012 | 365 | 21.5 | 13.2 | 23.7 | 37.4 |
| 2013 | 276 | 11.7 | 10.1 | 16.2 | 23.8 |
| 2014 | 215 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 17.1 | 21.9 |
| 2015 | 238 | 11.8 | 11.2 | 16.2 | 21.4 |
| 2016 | 229 | 11.1 | 11.3 | 16.6 | 20.6 |
| 2017 | 212 | 12.8 | 12.6 | 17.3 | 22.6 |
| 2018 | 186 | 14.8 | 14.1 | 18.1 | 21.9 |
| 2019 | 76 | 16.5 | 14.7 | 22.1 | 30.5 |
| 2020 | 74 | 16.0 | 15.7 | 21.3 | 25.2 |

* Only years for which similar DDDA_F calculation methods were used have been included.







Figure B32. Scatter plot of 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F values for rosé veal combination farms. The red solid lines represent the action thresholds defined by the SDa. The number of farms with persistently high usage levels (farms whose usage levels exceeded the action threshold in both years) is listed in the upper-right corner of the scatter plot





| | | | | | DDDA _F | |
|--------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------|-------------------|------|
| Choice | Pharmacotheraneutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with | Median | P75 | Mean |
| 1 | Amphenicols | Parenteral | 1 | 1.25 | 1.84 | 1.41 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 14 | 2.45 | 3.88 | 2.73 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Parenteral | 27 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.10 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Parenteral | 4 | 0.22 | 0.41 | 0.33 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 5 | 8.36 | 11.13 | 8.64 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Parenteral | 54 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 34 | 0.17 | 1.43 | 0.91 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Parenteral | 20 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Oral | 36 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.12 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Parenteral | 45 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.09 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 34 | 0.13 | 1.53 | 0.86 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Parenteral | 11 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.11 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Parenteral | 68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Long-acting macrolides | Parenteral | 22 | 0.14 | 0.31 | 0.25 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Parenteral | 64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Parenteral | 72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table A44. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at rosé veal combination farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration



Dairy cattle farming sector

1. Antibiotic use in DDDA_{NAT}

Figure B33. DDDA_{NAT} trends in the dairy cattle farming sector over the 2013-2020 period, by pharmacotherapeutic group



* In the poultry farming sector, all macrolides/lincosamides (with the exception of lincomycin and spiramycin) are categorized as second-choice antibiotics. In other livestock sectors, only long-acting macrolides are categorized as second-choice antibiotics.



2. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F

Number of farms: 15,522 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 296 (1.9%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins: 34 (0.2%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 945 (6.1%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 308 (2.0%)

Table A45. Antibiotic use at dairy cattle farms, presented as overall antibiotic use from 2012 to 2020 (A), use of dry cow (intramammary) antibiotics (B), use of mastitis injectors (C) and use of oral antibiotics in calves (D)

| A Overall antibiotic use. in DDDA _F * | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|------|--------|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 | | | |
| 2012 | 18,053 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 4.9 | | | |
| 2013 | 18,005 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 4.7 | | | |
| 2014 | 17,747 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 3.9 | | | |
| 2015 | 17,737 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 3.7 | | | |
| 2016 | 17,529 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 3.7 | | | |
| 2017 | 17,121 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 3.8 | | | |
| 2018 | 16,499 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 3.8 | | | |
| 2019 | 15,871 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 3.9 | | | |
| 2020 | 15,522 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 4.2 | | | |

* Only years for which similar $\mathsf{DDDA}_{\mathsf{F}}$ calculation methods were used have been included.

| Bl | Use of dry cow (intramammary |) antibiotics, in DDDA _F | (animals >2 years of age) |
|----|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
|----|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|

| Ν | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|--------|------|--------|-----|-----|
| 15,522 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.5 |

| С | Use of mastitis injectors, in DDDA _F (animals >2 years of age) | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--------|-----|-----|--|--|--|--|
| N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 | | | | |
| 15,522 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.6 | | | | |

| D | D Use of oral antibiotics in calves, in DDDA _F (animals <56 days of age) | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|--|
| N | Mean | P75 | P90 | | | | | |
| 15,522 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | | | | |





Figure B34. 2012, 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F distributions for dairy cattle farms



Table A46. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at dairy cattle farms in 2019, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
|--------|----------------------------------|--|---|--------|------|------|
| 1 | Amphenicols | Parenteral | 8,607 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Intramammary | 15,517 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 15,513 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Parenteral | 10,551 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Intramammary | 8,475 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.26 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Intramammary for dry cow therapy | 3,071 | 0.88 | 1.45 | 0.93 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Parenteral | 3,118 | 0.13 | 0.32 | 0.24 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 15,232 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Parenteral | 3,190 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.16 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Intrauterine | 7,947 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.05 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 14,762 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Parenteral | 2,726 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.19 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Oral | 13,741 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Parenteral | 15,092 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Intramammary | 5,954 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.16 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 15,520 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Parenteral | 6,934 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.06 |
| 2 | 1st- and 2nd-gen. cephalosporins | Intramammary | 14,842 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | 1st- and 2nd-gen. cephalosporins | Intrauterine | 11,883 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 15,513 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Intramammary | 7,226 | 0.03 | 0.24 | 0.18 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Intramammary for dry cow therapy | 15,095 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Parenteral | 11,699 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 2 | Long-acting macrolides | Parenteral | 13,490 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 3 | 3rd- and 4th-gen. cephalosporins | Intramammary | 15,496 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | 3rd- and 4th-gen. cephalosporins | Parenteral | 15,505 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Parenteral | 14,577 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Oral | 15,494 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Parenteral | 15,240 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Т



Non-dairy cattle farming sector

1. Antibiotic use in DDDA_{NAT}

Figure B35. DDDA_{NAT} trends in the non-dairy cattle farming sector over the 2013-2020 period, by pharmacotherapeutic group



* In the poultry farming sector, all macrolides/lincosamides (with the exception of lincomycin and spiramycin) are categorized as second-choice antibiotics. In other livestock sectors, only long-acting macrolides are categorized as second-choice antibiotics.



2. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F

2.1 Suckler cow farms

Number of farms: 7,914 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 3,996 (50.5%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins: 1 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 70 (0.9%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 44 (0.6%)

| Table A47 | Antibiotic use i | n DDDA₌ at | t suckler o | ow farms | from | 2012 to | 2020* |
|-----------|------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|------|---------|-------|
| TUDIC AT | Antibiotic use | II DDDAF at | JUCKICI | | | 2012 10 | 2020 |

| Year | Ν | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|--------|------|--------|-----|-----|
| 2012 | 11,927 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 2.0 |
| 2013 | 9,857 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 2.2 |
| 2014 | 9,588 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 |
| 2015 | 9,305 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 |
| 2016 | 9,067 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.9 |
| 2017 | 9,351 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.7 |
| 2018 | 8,932 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.8 |
| 2019 | 8,263 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.9 |
| 2020 | 7,914 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 2.0 |

* Only years for which similar $\mathsf{DDDA}_{\mathsf{F}}$ calculation methods were used have been included.

Figure B36. 2012, 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F distributions for suckler cow farms (no probability density functions can be shown due to too little variation)





Table A48. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at suckler cow farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
|--------|----------------------------------|---|---|--------|------|------|
| 1 | Amphenicols | Parenteral | 6,685 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 7,905 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Parenteral | 7,610 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Intramammary Intramammary for dry cow | 7,835 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 1 | Penicillins | therapy | 7,692 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Parenteral | 5,820 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.21 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 7,854 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Parenteral | 6,592 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Intrauterine | 6,899 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 7,838 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Parenteral | 7,029 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Oral | 7,833 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Parenteral | 7,840 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Intramammary | 7,700 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 7,911 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Parenteral | 6,718 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| 2 | 1st- and 2nd-gen. cephalosporins | Intramammary | 7,902 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1st- and 2nd-gen. cephalosporins | Intrauterine | 7,837 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Intramammary Intramammary for dry cow | 7,732 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | therapy | 7,901 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Parenteral | 7,159 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| 2 | Long-acting macrolides | Parenteral | 7,414 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 3 | 3rd- and 4th-gen. cephalosporins | Intramammary | 7,913 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Parenteral | 7,844 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Oral | 7,908 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Parenteral | 7,876 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

T



2.2 Rearing farms

Number of farms: 634 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 463 (73,0%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins: 0 (0,0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 1 (0,2%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 0 (0,0%)

Table A49. Antibiotic use in DDDA $_{\rm F}$ at rearing farms from 2012 to 2020*

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|--------|-----|------|--------|-----|-----|
| 2012** | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2013 | 472 | 1,1 | 0,0 | 0,2 | 2,3 |
| 2014 | 474 | 1,4 | 0,0 | 0,2 | 1,8 |
| 2015 | 470 | 0,8 | 0,0 | 0,2 | 1,7 |
| 2016 | 435 | 0,8 | 0,0 | 0,1 | 1,3 |
| 2017 | 520 | 1,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 1,6 |
| 2018 | 544 | 1,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 1,4 |
| 2019 | 573 | 1,0 | 0,0 | 0,1 | 1,5 |
| 2020 | 634 | 0,9 | 0,0 | 0,2 | 1,6 |

* Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.

** Rearing and beef farms were grouped together for 2012, as the available data did not allow for categorization based on sex.

Figure B37. 2013, 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F distributions for rearing farms (no probability density functions can be shown due to too little variation)





Table A50. Antibiotic use in $DDDA_F$ at rearing farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| | | | _ | | | |
|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------|------|------|
| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
| 1 | Amphenicols | Parenteral | 519 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 620 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Parenteral | 610 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Parenteral | 553 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 603 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Parenteral | 588 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Intrauterine | 633 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 627 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Parenteral | 599 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Oral | 626 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Parenteral | 630 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 628 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Parenteral | 604 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | 1st- and 2nd-gen. cephalosporins | Intrauterine | 633 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 633 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Parenteral | 628 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | Long-acting macrolides | Parenteral | 596 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Parenteral | 633 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |



2.3 Beef farms

Number of farms: 2,728 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 1,903 (69.8%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 13 (0.5%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 14 (0.5%)

Table A51. Antibiotic use in DDDAF at beef farms from 2012 to 2020*

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|--------|-------|------|--------|-----|-----|
| 2012** | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2013 | 3,316 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.2 |
| 2014 | 3,297 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.4 |
| 2015 | 3,196 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.9 |
| 2016 | 3,046 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.9 |
| 2017 | 2,919 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.3 |
| 2018 | 2,852 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.2 |
| 2019 | 2,778 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.5 |
| 2020 | 2,728 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 |

* Only years for which similar $\mathsf{DDDA}_{\!\mathsf{F}}$ calculation methods were used have been included.

** Rearing and beef farms were grouped together for 2012, as the available data did not allow for categorization based on sex.

Figure B38. 2013, 2019 and 2020 DDDA_F distributions for beef farms (no probability density functions can be shown due to too little variation)





| | | | | DDDA _F | | |
|--------|----------------------------------|---|---|-------------------|------|------|
| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
| 1 | Amphenicols | Parenteral | 2,229 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 2,608 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Parenteral | 2,572 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Intramammary Intramammary for dry cow | 2,718 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | Penicillins | therapy | 2,701 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 1 | Penicillins | Parenteral | 2,247 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 2,545 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Parenteral | 2,463 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Intrauterine | 2,654 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 2,666 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Parenteral | 2,507 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Oral | 2,676 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Parenteral | 2,702 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Intramammary | 2,714 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Oral | 2,687 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| 2 | Aminopenicillins | Parenteral | 2,440 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 2 | 1st- and 2nd-gen. cephalosporins | Intramammary | 2,727 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 1st- and 2nd-gen. cephalosporins | Intrauterine | 2,725 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 2,716 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Intramammary Intramammary for dry cow | 2,714 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | therapy | 2,727 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Fixed-dose combinations | Parenteral | 2,613 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 2 | Long-acting macrolides | Parenteral | 2,507 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| 3 | Fluoroquinolones | Parenteral | 2,715 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Oral | 2,727 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | Polymyxins | Parenteral | 2,715 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table A52. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F at beef farms in 2020, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration



Rabbit farming sector

1. Antibiotic use in DDDA_{NAT}

Figure B39. DDDA_{NAT} trends in the rabbit farming sector over the 2016-2020 period, by pharmacotherapeutic group



* In the poultry farming sector, all macrolides/lincosamides (with the exception of lincomycin and spiramycin) are categorized as second-choice antibiotics. In other livestock sectors, only long-acting macrolides are categorized as second-choice antibiotics.



2. Antibiotic use in DDDA_F

Number of farms: 35 Number of farms with DDDA_F=0: 1 (2.9%) Number of farms that used third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used fluoroquinolones: 0 (0.0%) Number of farms that used polymyxins: 0 (0.0%)

Table A53. Antibiotic use in $DDDA_F$ at rabbit farms from 2016 to 2020*

| Year | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|------|----|------|--------|------|-------|
| 2016 | 41 | 40.9 | 31.8 | 60.3 | 84.4 |
| 2017 | 49 | 25.4 | 21.7 | 37.9 | 49.4 |
| 2018 | 40 | 47.9 | 44.2 | 61.1 | 96.3 |
| 2019 | 36 | 42.5 | 40.4 | 60.8 | 75.9 |
| 2020 | 35 | 53.5 | 39.9 | 75.3 | 124.4 |

* Only years for which similar DDDAF calculation methods were used have been included.



Figure B40. 2016, 2019 and 2020 $DDDA_F$ distributions for rabbit farms



Table A54. Antibiotic use in $DDDA_F$ at rabbit farms in 2019, by pharmacotherapeutic group and route of administration

| | | | _ | DDDA _F | | |
|--------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------|-------|-------|
| Choice | Pharmacotherapeutic group | Route of administration | # of farms with DDDA _F =0 | Median | P75 | Mean |
| 1 | Macrolides/lincosamides | Oral | 25 | 0.00 | 2.77 | 3.93 |
| 1 | Other | Oral | 8 | 7.43 | 25.98 | 15.53 |
| 1 | Pleuromutilins | Oral | 18 | 0.00 | 8.98 | 4.21 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Oral | 18 | 0.00 | 16.53 | 12.93 |
| 1 | Tetracyclines | Parenteral | 16 | 0.35 | 2.17 | 1.23 |
| 1 | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | Oral | 27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.33 |
| 2 | Aminoglycosides | Oral | 13 | 3.34 | 19.25 | 10.17 |
| 2 | Quinolones | Oral | 34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 |



Colistin use in DDDA_F

| Livestock | Type of farm/ | % of total | N | Colistin use in DDDA _F | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|------------|-----|-----------------------------------|------|-----|------|
| sector | production category | farms | | Mean | Med. | P75 | P95 |
| Broiler | All broiler farms | 1.0% | 8 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 9.6 |
| farming | - Farms with conventional breeds | 1.8% | 7 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 9.6 |
| sector | - Farms with alternative breeds | 0.2% | 1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| | Parent stock rearing farms | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Parent stock production farms | 1.0% | 2 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| | Grandparent stock rearing farms | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Grandparent stock production farms | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Layer farming | Layer farms | 15.6% | 128 | 6.8 | 5.3 | 8.8 | 17.0 |
| sector | Layer rearing farms | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Parent stock rearing farms | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Parent stock production farms | 4.9% | 2 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| | Grandparent stock rearing farms | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Grandparent stock production farms | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Turkey | | | | | | | |
| farming | | | | | | | |
| sector | | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Dig forming | Sows/suckling piglets | 30.7% | 483 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| sector | Weaner pigs | 28.7% | 504 | 6.7 | 2.1 | 5.8 | 23.0 |
| 3000 | Fattening pigs | 2.7% | 98 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.4 |
| Veal farming | White veal farms | 6.8% | 55 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.7 |
| sector | Rosé veal starter farms | 2.5% | 5 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 23.8 |
| | Rosé veal fattening farms | 1.0% | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | Rosé veal combination farms | 2.7% | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cattle | Dairy cattle farms | 2.0% | 308 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| farming | Rearing farms | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| sector | Suckler cow farms | 0.6% | 44 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.2 |
| | Beef farms | 0.5% | 14 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.1 |
| Rabbit | | | | | | | |
| farming | | | | | | | |
| sector | | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table A55. Descriptive statistics of farms that used colistin in 2020, Med.=Median.



Distributions new VBI veterinarians

Table A56. 2020 new VBI distributions for veterinarians by livestock sector and type of farm/production category. Farms with persistent high usage levels (a usage level exceeding the action threshold fort wo years in a row) are excluded.

| Livestock sector | Type of farm/ production category | SDa benchmark threshold | Ν | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|------|--------|------|------|
| Broiler | Farms with conventional breeds | 8 | 63 | 7.9 | 5.9 | 9.5 | 15.3 |
| farming sector | Farms with alternative breeds | 8 | 74 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 3.8 |
| Turkey farming sector | Turkey farms | 10 | 12 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 7.2 |
| Pig | Sows/suckling piglets | 5 | 192 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| farming sector | Weaner pigs | 20 | 193 | 11.0 | 9.1 | 14.5 | 20.2 |
| | Fattening pigs | 5 | 228 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 4.8 |
| Veal | White veal farms | 23 | 55 | 15.8 | 16.4 | 18.0 | 20.7 |
| farming | Rosé veal starter farms | 67 | 45 | 48.3 | 50.2 | 59.4 | 67.7 |
| sector | Rosé veal fattening farms | 4 | 104 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 3.7 |
| | Rosé veal combination farms | 12 | 24 | 9.8 | 9.6 | 14.3 | 18.3 |
| Cattle | Dairy cattle farms | 5 | 693 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.1 |
| farming | Rearing farms | 2 | 205 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.3 |
| sector | Suckler cow farms | 2 | 678 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.1 |
| | Beef farms | 2 | 358 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.2 |

Table A57. 2020 DDDA_{VET} distributions for veterinarians by livestock sector and type of farm/production category. This is the same calculation as in Table A56, but without excluding persistent farms with persistent high usage levels.

| Livestock sector | Type of farm/ production category | SDa benchmark threshold | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|------|--------|------|------|
| Broiler | Farms with conventional breeds | 8 | 69 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 15.5 | 21.4 |
| farming sector | Farms with alternative breeds | 8 | 75 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 4.2 |
| Turkey farming sector | Turkey farms | 10 | 12 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 10.5 |
| Pig | Sows/suckling piglets | 5 | 195 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 6.1 |
| farming | Weaner pigs | 20 | 193 | 20.5 | 12.1 | 22.7 | 43.7 |
| sector | Fattening pigs | 5 | 230 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 5.3 | 7.3 |
| Veal | White veal farms | 23 | 56 | 16.4 | 17.0 | 19.1 | 22.0 |
| farming | Rosé veal starter farms | 67 | 54 | 62.5 | 61.1 | 74.0 | 83.0 |
| sector | Rosé veal fattening farms | 4 | 113 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 7.5 | 10.9 |
| | Rosé veal combination farms | 12 | 42 | 14.8 | 15.2 | 18.6 | 25.2 |
| Cattle | Dairy cattle farms | 5 | 694 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.3 |
| farming | Rearing farms | 2 | 207 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.6 |
| sector | Suckler cow farms | 2 | 682 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 |
| | Beef farms | 2 | 366 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.7 |


Table A58. 2020 new VBI distributions for veterinarians by livestock sector and type of farm/production category, for sector that have negotiated intermediate benchmark thresholds. Farms with persistent high usage levels (a usage level exceeding the intermediate action threshold for two years in a row) are excluded.

These intermediate action thresholds are set higher than the SDa's action thresholds, resulting in less farms being excluded from the calculations.

| Livestock sector | Type of farm/ production category | Sector-negotiated threshold | N | Mean | Median | P75 | P90 |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|------|--------|------|------|
| Broiler | Farms with conventional breeds | 26 | 68 | 11.3 | 11.1 | 14.9 | 20.6 |
| farming sector | Farms with alternative breeds | 15 | 74 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 3.9 |
| Pig | Sows/suckling piglets | 10 | 195 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 5.7 |
| farming | Weaner pigs | 40 | 193 | 14.1 | 11.1 | 19.3 | 29.1 |
| sector | Fattening pigs | 10 | 229 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 6.1 |



Numbers of animals in the Dutch livestock sector

Table A59. Numbers of agricultural livestock (x1,000) in the Netherlands from 2009 to 2020, according to data provided by CBS (for poultry, veal calves, meat rabbits and goats) and EUROSTAT (for the other types of livestock)

| | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|-----------------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Piglets (<20 kg) | 4,809 | 4,649 | 4,797 | 4,993 | 4,920 | 5,116 | 5,408 | 4,986 | 5,522 | 5,287 | 5,002 | 4,883 |
| Sows | 1,100 | 1,098 | 1,106 | 1,081 | 1,095 | 1,106 | 1,053 | 1,022 | 1,066 | 967 | 1,047 | 926 |
| Fattening pigs | 4,099 | 4,419 | 4,179 | 4,189 | 4,209 | 4,087 | 4,223 | 4,140 | 3,967 | 4,032 | 4,163 | 4,032 |
| Other pigs | 2,100 | 2,040 | 2,021 | 1,841 | 1,789 | 1,765 | 1,769 | 1,733 | 1,741 | 1,623 | 1,709 | 1,697 |
| Turkeys | 1,060 | 1,036 | 990 | 827 | 841 | 794 | 863 | 762 | 671 | 556 | 532 | 585 |
| All chickens | 98,706 | 102,585 | 98,253 | 96,268 | 98,587 | 103,944 | 107,743 | 105,550 | 105,184 | 105,104 | 101,741 | 101,184 |
| Of which broilers | 41,914 | 43,352 | 44,358 | 43,285 | 44,748 | 47,020 | 49,107 | 48,378 | 48,237 | 48,971 | 48,684 | 49,229 |
| Veal calves | 894 | 928 | 906 | 908 | 925 | 921 | 909 | 956 | 953 | 1,017 | 1,066 | 1,071 |
| All cattle combined | 3,112 | 3,039 | 2,993 | 3,045 | 3,064 | 3,230 | 3,360 | 3,353 | 3,082 | 2,634 | 2,679 | 2,689 |
| Of which dairy cattle | 1,562 | 1,518 | 1,504 | 1,541 | 1,597 | 1,610 | 1,717 | 1,794 | 1,665 | 1,552 | 1,590 | 1,569 |
| Goats | 374 | 353 | 380 | 397 | 413 | 431 | 470 | 500 | 533 | 588 | 615 | 633 |
| Sheep | 1,091 | 1,211 | 1,113 | 1,093 | 1,074 | 1,070 | 1,032 | 1,040 | 1,015 | 743 | 758 | 708 |
| Weaned meat rabbits | 271 | 260 | 262 | 284 | 270 | 278 | 333 | 318 | 300 | 291 | 289 | 297 |
| Breeding does | 41 | 39 | 39 | 43 | 41 | 43 | 48 | 45 | 43 | 41 | 48 | 38 |



Antibiotic use in terms of DDD_{VET}/animal-year

Table A60. Antibiotic use in terms of DDD_{VET}/animal-year from 2017 to 2020, by livestock sector

| Bharmacothoranoutic group | | Broiler farr | ming sector | | | Turkey farm | ning sector | | | Pig farm | ing sector | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------|-------------|-------------|--------|--------|----------|------------|--------|
| Pharmacotherapeutic group | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| 1st-choice antibiotics | 3.79 | 3.73 | 3.86 | 3.76 | 11.37 | 15.15 | 15.43 | 12.83 | 6.62 | 6.64 | 6.30 | 6.11 |
| As a proportion of overall AB use | 35.15% | 32.78% | 34.55% | 35.62% | 49.48% | 60.76% | 57.68% | 71.14% | 77.72% | 77.73% | 78.89% | 74.58% |
| Amphenicols | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.23 |
| Macrolides/lincosamides | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.11 | * | * | * | * | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.95 | 0.85 |
| Penicillins | 0.58 | 0.43 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 1.61 | 2.58 | 1.58 | 0.81 | 0.54 | 0.56 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| Pleuromutilins | * | * | * | * | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.00 | * | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.04 |
| Tetracyclines | 1.27 | 1.42 | 1.17 | 1.32 | 9.20 | 11.98 | 13.42 | 11.83 | 3.42 | 3.25 | 2.96 | 2.95 |
| Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | 1.86 | 1.81 | 1.78 | 1.46 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.19 | 1.51 | 1.65 | 1.60 | 1.55 |
| 2nd-choice antibiotics | 6.92 | 7.57 | 7.24 | 6.73 | 10.54 | 9.04 | 10.72 | 4.74 | 1.59 | 1.53 | 1.30 | 1.66 |
| As a proportion of overall AB use | 64.17% | 66.42% | 64.80% | 63.76% | 45.89% | 36.24% | 40.07% | 26.30% | 18.64% | 17.93% | 16.25% | 20.25% |
| Aminoglycosides | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Aminopenicillins | 5.53 | 5.74 | 5.91 | 5.49 | 8.95 | 7.44 | 8.81 | 3.79 | 1.01 | 0.94 | 0.78 | 0.98 |
| 1st- and 2nd-gen. cephalosporins | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Quinolones | 1.23 | 1.64 | 1.16 | 1.12 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.11 | * | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| Fixed-dose combinations | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | * | * | * | * | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Long-acting macrolides | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.64 |
| Macrolides/lincosamides | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 1.40 | 1.46 | 1.80 | 0.93 | * | * | * | * |
| 3rd-choice antibiotics | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 1.06 | 0.75 | 0.60 | 0.46 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.42 |
| As a proportion of overall AB use | 0.68% | 0.80% | 0.65% | 0.62% | 4.63% | 2.99% | 2.25% | 2.56% | 3.64% | 4.33% | 4.86% | 5.17% |
| 3rd- and 4th-gen. cephalosporins | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Fluoroquinolones | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 1.06 | 0.75 | 0.59 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Polymyxins | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | * | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.42 |
| Overall antibiotic use | 10.78 | 11.39 | 11.17 | 10.56 | 22.98 | 24.94 | 26.75 | 18.03 | 8.52 | 8.54 | 7.99 | 8.20 |



Table A60. (continued)

| Pharmacotherapeutic group | Dairy cattle farming sector | | | r | Veal farming sector | | | | Non-dairy cattle farming sector | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| 1st-choice antibiotics | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 18.52 | 16.82 | 14.43 | 13.24 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.68 | 0.61 |
| As a proportion of overall AB use | 89.76% | 88.69% | 87.11% | 85.08% | 87.61% | 88.07% | 86.93% | 86.23% | 86.12% | 88.58% | 86.82% | 84.81% |
| Amphenicols | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 1.11 | 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.86 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.05 |
| Macrolides/lincosamides | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 3.94 | 3.68 | 3.50 | 3.22 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.11 |
| Penicillins | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Pleuromutilins | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Tetracyclines | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 10.61 | 9.84 | 7.79 | 7.38 | 0.48 | 0.54 | 0.37 | 0.35 |
| Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 2.61 | 2.03 | 1.94 | 1.58 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.07 |
| 2nd-choice antibiotics | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 2.57 | 2.24 | 2.15 | 2.09 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.11 |
| As a proportion of overall AB use | 9.53% | 10.59% | 12.18% | 14.11% | 12.13% | 11.71% | 12.95% | 13.61% | 13.65% | 10.94% | 12.76% | 14.60% |
| Aminoglycosides | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aminopenicillins | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 1.59 | 1.50 | 1.39 | 1.35 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| 1st- and 2nd-gen. cephalosporins | * | 0.00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0.00 | * | * |
| Quinolones | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.55 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Fixed-dose combinations | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Long-acting macrolides | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Macrolides/lincosamides | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 3rd-choice antibiotics | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| As a proportion of overall AB use | 0.70% | 0.72% | 0.71% | 0.81% | 0.26% | 0.22% | 0.12% | 0.16% | 0.23% | 0.47% | 0.42% | 0.59% |
| 3rd- and 4th-gen. cephalosporins | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Fluoroquinolones | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Polymyxins | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Overall antibiotic use | 1.03 | 1.05 | 0.99 | 1.09 | 21.15 | 19.10 | 16.60 | 15.36 | 1.10 | 1.04 | 0.79 | 0.72 |



Phased implementation of the new benchmark thresholds

Table A61. Transitional benchmark thresholds for farms with sows and piglets

| Year | Signaling threshold | Action threshold |
|------|---------------------|------------------|
| 2020 | 7 | 10 |
| 2021 | - | 7 |
| 2022 | - | 5 |

Table A62. Transitional benchmark thresholds for farms with fattening pigs

| Year | Signaling threshold | Action threshold |
|------|---------------------|------------------|
| 2020 | 7 | 10 |
| 2021 | - | 7 |
| 2022 | - | 5 |

Table A63. Transitional benchmark thresholds for farms with weaner pigs

| Year | Signaling threshold | Action threshold |
|------|---------------------|------------------|
| 2020 | 20 | 40 |
| 2021 | 20 | 30 |
| 2022 | - | 20 |

Table A64. Transitional benchmark thresholds for broiler farms with conventional breeds*

| Phase | Year | Signaling threshold | Action threshold |
|-------|-----------|---------------------|------------------|
| 1 | 2019-2021 | 14 | 26 |
| 2 | 2022-2023 | 12 | 24 |
| 3 | 2024-2025 | 10 | 20 |

Table A65. Transitional benchmark thresholds for broiler farms with alternative breeds*

| Phase | Year | Signaling threshold | Action threshold |
|---------|-----------|---------------------|------------------|
| 1 | 2019-2021 | 8 | 15 |
| 2 and 3 | 2022-2025 | 8 | 12 |

Table A66. Transitional benchmark thresholds for turkey*

| Phase | Year | Signaling threshold | Action threshold |
|-------|-----------|---------------------|------------------|
| 1 | 2021-2022 | 14 | 20 |
| 2 | 2023-2024 | 12 | 16 |
| 3 | 2025-2026 | 10 | 12 |
| 4 | 2027- | - | 10 |

* The specified periods are not set in stone. At the end of each phase, evaluation will take place in order to determine whether it is feasible for the broiler farms concerned to enter the next phase.



Standardized body weights

Table A67. Standardized average body weights used for determining the DDDA_{NAT} values, by livestock sector and production category

| Livestock sector | Production category | Standardized body weight in kg ¹ |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Veal farming sector | Veal calves | 172 |
| Pig farming sector | Piglets (<20 kg) | 10 |
| | Sows | 220 |
| | Fattening pigs | 70.2 |
| | Other pigs | 70 |
| Broiler farming sector | Broilers | 1 |
| Turkey farming sector | Turkeys | 6 |
| Cattle farming sector | Dairy cattle | 600 |
| | Non-dairy cattle | 500 |
| Rabbit farming sector | Weaned meat rabbits | 1.8 |
| | Breeding does with kits | 8.4 |

¹ Body weights as defined by LEI Wageningen UR, determined at the start of the agricultural census in the Netherlands. The standardized body weights are to be multiplied by the numbers of animals reported by CBS/EUROSTAT.



Table A68. Standardized average body weights used by the SDa for determining the DDDA_F values, by livestock sector and production category

| Livestock sector | Production category | Age group | Standardized body weight |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Veal farming sector | | 0 222 dava | in kg ¹ |
| vear lanning sector | Calves at white year farms | 0 - 222 days | 160 |
| | Calves at rose year starter farms | 0 - 98 days | 77.5 |
| | Calves at rose year fattening farms | 98 - 256 days | 232.5 |
| Dig forming sector | Calves at rose year combination farms | 0 - 256 days | 205 |
| Fig farming sector | breeding boars and heat-check boars | | 220 |
| | Suckling piglets | 0 - 25 days | 4.5 |
| | Replacement gilts | 7 months - 1st insemination | 135 |
| | Weaned piglets | 25 - 74 days | 17.5 |
| | Fattening pigs | Until ready for slaughter | 70 |
| | Gilts | 74 days - 7 months | 70 |
| Broiler farming sector ² | Conventional broilers | 0 - 45 days | n/a |
| | Alternative broilers | 0 - 70 days | n/a |
| | Parent stock at rearing farms | 0 - 20 weeks | n/a |
| | Grandparent stock at rearing farms | 0 - 20 weeks | n/a |
| | Parent stock at production farms | >20 weeks | 3 |
| | Grandparent stock at production farms | >20 weeks | 3 |
| Layer farming sector ² | Layers | >18 weeks | 1.6 |
| | Layer pullets at rearing farms | 0 - 18 weeks | n/a |
| | Parent stock at rearing farms | 0 - 18 weeks | n/a |
| | Grandparent stock at rearing farms | 0 - 18 weeks | n/a |
| | Parent stock at production farms | >18 weeks | 1.9 |
| | Grandparent stock at production farms | >18 weeks | 1.9 |
| Turkey farming sector ² | Toms | | n/a |
| | Hens | | n/a |
| Cattle farming sector ³ | Dairy cattle | >2 years | 600 |
| | Heifers | 1 - 2 years | 440 |
| | Yearlings | 56 days - 1 year | 235 |
| | Calves (female) | <56 days | 56.5 |
| | Beef bulls | >2 years | 800 |
| | Beef bulls | 1-2 years | 628 |
| | Beef bulls | 56 days - 1 year | 283 |
| | Calves (male) | <56 days | 79 |
| Rabbit farming sector | Breeding does/kits | >4 months and <4.5 weeks | 8.4 |
| | Weaned meat rabbits | 4.5 - 12 weeks | 1.8 |
| | Replacement breeding does | 12 weeks - 4 months | 3.4 |

¹ Body weights (in kilograms) as determined in consultation with the livestock sectors concerned. They may be adjusted if deemed necessary (e.g. in order to refine the benchmarking method).

² As of 2017, the body weights used for determining poultry farms' DDDA_F values are based on the age of the animals at the time of treatment, unless a standardized body weight has been defined for the production category concerned.

³ Livestock farms in the cattle farming sector are categorized based on whether or not they produce milk. They are classified as either dairy cattle farms or non-dairy cattle farms. Non-dairy cattle farms include rearing farms (with <40% of cattle present being male and none of the animals being over 2 years of age), suckler cow farms (with <40% of cattle present being male and some of the animals being over 2 years of age). Suckler cow farms (with <40% of cattle present being male and some of the animals being over 2 years of age) and beef farms (with >40% of cattle present being male).



Computational basis for Figure 1 – long-term developments in antibiotic use

- Until 2010, defined daily doses animal were based on data reported by LEI Wageningen UR (DD/AY data).
 From 2011 onwards, SDa-reported defined daily doses animal (DDDAF data) have been used.
- The 2011 DDDA_{NAT} values were estimated as follows:
 - For the veal and pig farming sectors: by means of the 2011:2012 DDDA_F ratio (with weighting based on the average number of kilograms present at individual farms);
 - For the dairy cattle farming sector: by means of the 2011:2012 DD/AY ratio;
 - For the broiler farming sector: by means of the 2011:2012 treatment days ratio (with weighting based on the number of animal-days at individual farms).
- Data on the overall number of kilograms of animal in a particular livestock sector, required for calculating the DDDA_{NAT} values, were provided by EUROSTAT (for the pig and dairy cattle farming sectors) and Statistics Netherlands (for the broiler, turkey and veal farming sectors).
- 95% confidence intervals were based on the corresponding confidence intervals for the weighted DDDA_F values.



SDa, the Netherlands Veterinary Medicines Institute Yalelaan 114 3584 CM Utrecht The Netherlands

Telephone: +31 (0)88 03 07 222 Email: info@autoriteitdiergeneesmiddelen.nl www.autoriteitdiergeneesmiddelen.nl

Appendix to the report Usage of Antibiotics in Agricultural Livestock in the Netherlands in 2019 Trends and benchmarking of livestock farms and veterinarians SDa/1156/2021 The Netherlands Veterinary Medicines Institute, 2021 Information from this publication may be reproduced, provided the source is acknowledged